TREASON IN STATE DEPARTMENT

(Reprinted from Fort Lauderdale News)

In the event there are still some naive people around who don't know why the State Department is so often referred to as "Foggy Bottom," sworn testimony, released recently by the Senate internal security subcommittee, should prove most enlightening.

The testimony was compiled during a three-year probe made by the subcommittee into the State Department's lax security system, and what this testimony brings out is so shocking as to be almost unbelievable.

Some 10 years ago, the testimony shows, State Department officials were presented with a list of 258 employees who had been classified by the Department's own security chief as "particularly dangerous" security risks.

The report accompanying this list revealed that the evidence compiled against these 258 employees was of such a nature that it could hardly be ignored. Some of the 258 employees were actual members of the Communist party; others were active members

Paid the Price



OTTO F. OTEPKA, former Chief Evaluations Clerk of the State Department, fired for giving vitally needed information to a Committee of the U.S. Senate.

of Communist-front organizations carried on the attorney general's subversive list; still others had a record of knowingly associating with espionage agents, while the remainder were people with proven records of homosexual conduct.

These 258 employes were not the victims of some sort of "witch hunt." Their classification came as a result of a survey of 10,380 State Department employees originally started back in 1953 shortly after the Eisenhower administration came into power.

Scott McLeod, who was then administrator of the bureau for security and consular affairs, supervised the survey. When it was finished it disclosed that "derogatory" information had been turned up on some 1,943 employees. Out of this number some 722 left the State Department before a final determination could be made as to their security

This left 1,221 employees still on the doubtful list, and a further study was then made of these people. This was supervised by Otto F. Otepka, who was then holding the position of chief of evaluations in the department's security office.

Otepka's intensive check revealed that out of the list of 1,221 employees there were 858 tion of "probative value." He recommended to his superiors that these 858 persons be kept under a continuing watch and not be assigned to "critically sensitive" positions. He further recommended that a "large number" be dismissed as security risks.

It was from Otepka's information that Mc-Leod then drafted his report classifying 258 of these 858 employees as particularly dangerous from the standpoint of security, and he warned that "a grave risk" Was entailed in the retention of these security risks.

What subsequently happened to McLeod's report and his warning has now become something of a mystery. When the Senate internal security subcommittee tried to find out, the members were first told that no such report ever existed. Even Secretary of State Rusk expressed complete ignorance about it.

Finally, when it became evident that the subcommittee not only had proof that such

a report existed but had access to some of the information it contained, State Department officials admitted a copy had been located in their files but that it could not be made available to the subcommittee because

The subcommittee then wanted to know how many of the 258 individuals who had been classified by McLeod as "grave" security risks were still employed by the State Department. For some time top officials refused to provide the subcommittee with this information on the grounds that further checks had been run and all still employed had been given full security clearance.

Further prodding by the subcommittee, however, finally revealed that 166 of these 258 were still employed and that subsequent to the McLeod report many had been given promotions and had been assigned to even more sensitive positions than they had held before. But just who these people are and where they are is still a well-kept secret of the State Department.

One has to read the full transcript of the testimony given to the subcommittee to fully understand the extent to which top State Department officials went to keep the full details of their lax security methods out of the hands of the investigating senators. This included lying by some, half-truths by others and deviousness and doubletalk all down the

The testimony clearly shows that as far as the State Department is concerned, loyalty to the department comes ahead of loyalty to the country. After three years of intensive hearings, embracing millions of words of testimony, the subcommittee still hasn't been able to find out where 166 people who were once classified as "particularly dangerous" security risks have now been assigned or whether or not their presence in key, policymaking spots jeopardizes this country's

It is a sordid, sad and sickening story, and one of the sorriest parts about it is that too few people know about it or care about putting into the legislative mill action that would bring about the thorough house cleaning our State Department needs in the worst way.

Red Shipping in the Panama Canal

(Continued from Page 3)

UNDER CUBAN GOVERNMENT ORDERS TO CARRY MILITARY SUPPLIES TO NORTH VIETNAM; and POLAND is a RUSSIAN SATELLITE).

Canal Zone Customs officials are not permitted to stop and search ships for war material, guns, ammunitions, etc. They could, only by order of the C.Z. Governor; he would not give such an order unless commanded from higher up.

In short, THERE IS NO REAL SECUR-ITY IN THE CANAL ZONE. ANY SHIPS CAN CARRY CARGO TO HELP KILL OUR BOYS IN VIETNAM via THE PANAMA CANAL, constructed, operated, maintained by United States money and American citi-

The PANAMA CANAL REVIEW published by Panama Canal Company, in the quarterly issue of February, 1966, lists: 25 Soviet

of its secrecy classification.

wonder the Viet Cong "fade into the jungle", evade traps, or are able to surround and ambush our troops and bases! No wonder tons of bombs are dropped, but little damage done to vital enemy installations! No wonder the port of Haiphong is not mined and enemy ships are permitted to tran-

ships passing through the canal during the

2nd quarter of the fiscal year, 11 in 1965 and

How unspeakable that men in responsible

positions dare send our boys into a war in

which the enemy shares deciding policy! No

sit the American-owned Panama Canal, carrying supplies and war material for use

against our troops in Vietnam!

thus far 14 in 1966.

As long as treasonous shipping goes through the Panama Canal, and other treasonous policies are continued, LBJ's WORDS ARE HOLLOW, HYPOCRITICAL, MEAN-INGLESS—AND OUR BOYS DIE IN VAIN!

LET EVERY RESPONSIBLE ADULT DEMAND OF SENATORS AND REPRESENTATIVES THAT THEY PUT A STOP TO SUCH TREASONOUS POLICIES. STOP GIVING AID TO THE ENEMY! STOP RISKING THE LIVES OF OUR SONS IN A TRAGIC U.N. - CONTROLLED WAR THEY ARE NOT PERMITTED TO WIN!

Open Letter to Benedict Arnold

(Continued from Page 1) the eighth anniversary of Castro's Communist revolution. Britain plans for us to invade Rhodesia (that is for the UN for which we furnish most of the money and the corpses, to do so). Cuba, you see, is a good customer of Britain's. Britain will do anything for money or trading stamps. Britain would bomb Britain for cost plus 20%. Britannia waives the rules.

We give grain to Egypt's nasty Nasser, who turns around and sells it to Cuba. Cuba poses a nuclear threat to all our major cities while Rhodesia poses a threat to no nation.

Traitor now means "one who tries to prevent a government official from giving aid and comfort to the enemy."

Treason today is giving aid and comfort to our friends. Our super-patriots who are sending gifts to Rhodesia are treading on thin ice. Soon American boys may be drafted to fight alongside Russians in a United Nations' invasion of South Africa and Rhodesia. I would join you down there before I'd do it.

TOM ANDERSON.

Reprinted from February, 1967, Southern Farm Publications

"DESTROY THE ACCUSER"

Federal Homosexual Power Exposed . . . By FREDERICK SEELIG

Foreword by WESTBROOK PEGLER Commentary by DR. REVILO P. OLIVER

This book by Frederick Seelig, a veteran newspaperman, gives his incredible but verified story: an American journalist who was too good an investigator and got too close to the villainous power which, in vengeance, victimized him via "mental health justice." Although the Springfield, Mo., torture set-up is called the Medical Center, he never once saw the inside of a hospital there, nor had medical care when he was ill.

This victim, unlike many others there during his 19 months of illegal confinement, lived to tell; although it is now a question whether having to live like a hunted animal is worth the survival. Fiendish authorities told him that papers were all made out in advance to commit him to an insane asylum should he tell his story, but then, they laughed, he wouldn't live long enough after what they'd done to him.

Mr. Seelig for nearly two years was a political prisoner of the late President John F. Kennedy and his Attorney General brother, Robert Kennedy. In this incredible story the author relives the horrors of stacked, political, Federal Courts, hell-hole Missouri Federal Penitentiary, doctors trained in Kremlin psychiatric torture atrocities. Incapacitated by diabolical torture, he wrote "Destroy the Accuser" in segments and in pain.

Congress and the White House ignored petitions or public hearings of this story that will shock the American public.

Drama-packed 192 pages with illustrations and documents. Price \$3.00.

Common Sense.

		U. S.
	U.S.	CANADA
	CANADA	FOREIGN
	1ST CLASS	2ND CLASS
1 year	\$5.00	\$3.00
2 years	8.00	5.00
3 years	10.00	7.00
Lifetime	_	50.00
Foreign 1st Clas	ss — \$10.00	per year
	- SAME or AS	

20 copies

100 copies 500 copies 1000 copies

Published twice monthly except July and August,

CHRISTIAN EDUCATIONAL ASSN. 530 Chestnut Street, Union, N. J. 07083